


Fixing Troubled Groups

Ken Flowers
Director, Software Development
Astra Tech, Inc.
(781) 883-9237
kflowers@alum.mit.edu



My experience



- Complier group in maintenance mode
 - In two years: 3 times the deliverables with 2/3 the staff
- Algorithms group waiting for permission to work
 - In one year: Called on to support every new customer win
- Documentation group rated 2 out of 5 by customers
 - In two years: 4 out of 5 and working as part of the development team
- 3D CAD group delivering only minor enhancements
 - In two years: Enabling 50% work-center time, customer TAT from 3 days to 1, and 3D web viewer for customers

How do you recognize that a group is in trouble?



- Just listen
 - Everyone else knows
 - And are talking about it behind your back
- You keep trying to fix them
 - And keep hearing excuses for why it is the way it is
- They are delivering nothing:
 - They focus on one area – fixing some perceived problem
 - They are caught up in maintaining status quo
 - They are trying to fly under the radar
 - They are afraid to make mistakes
- Some groups hide

Real evidence of trouble



- “We are only allowed to work on bug fixes. We were told not to work on new development until it has been approved.”
- “You don’t have to change words that haven’t been written.”
- “All we have time for is working on building for the next OS release.”
- “We can’t get marketing to tell us how they want it to work.”

What are some root causes of a troubled group?



- Lack of direction
- They don't know what to do
- Lack of understanding of business values
- They are afraid to act – mistakes feel dangerous
- The leader can't effectively advocate a direction
- They give up
- Bad eggs (unusual)
- Unreasonable expectations

What is your first action when you find a troubled group?



Mine is:

- Decide if the current leader can fix it
 - Probably not – change them out!
 - They don't know how to fix it
 - They would have done it already

How do you diagnose the root-cause of the problem?



- Get out of the way
 - Give the new leader some room
 - Your preconceptions may have colored the previous leader's actions
 - Don't force the new leader down the same path
- My best tool: One-on-one interviews
- Focus on causes not symptoms
- Learning cycle:
 - Listen to people
 - Form an opinion
 - Test your ideas
 - Repeat

Who should you interview and why?



- Management – ask about problems, look for business values
- Peers/Stakeholders – search for rumors, find who are assets to your team
- Team members – search for motivations, learn root causes, evaluate available skills

Order matters: Who should you interview first, and why?



This is the order I use:

- Manager briefly:
 - Learn problem from their perspective
 - Learn who your strongest peers are
- Team – as a group:
 - To tell them you will be talking to them individually
- Strongest peers:
 - Most likely source of accurate problem description
 - Will know who is likely good and poor on the team
- Best team member:
 - Build an inside ally
 - See if they will describe the problem
 - Tests their engagement in fixing the problem
 - See if they really are good
 - Learn their level of insight and ability to help with a solution
 - Test the assessment you heard from management and peers
 - See if they will point out the bad eggs
- Worst team member
 - They will describe the problem, usually accurately
 - Start to decide if they can be saved
- Rest of the team members and peers
 - Repeatedly run through the learning cycle

How do you fix the problem?



- Create a meaningful vision for the group
- Resolve the root-cause obstacles to success
- Set new performance norms
- Keep refining the solution



The group vision

- It must be:
 - Meaningful to the organization
 - Simple to describe
 - Something the group can be proud of
- Engage the team in setting it
- Get management buy-in that they want it
- Build peer buy-in to support it

Resolve root-cause obstacles



- Set new deliverable expectations with management
- Change short-term expectations to give improvement head-room
- Trade them for meaningful, long-term deliverables
- Set new interaction norms with peer groups
- Renegotiate resources for new deliverable expectations

Set new performance norms



- Create a burning platform
 - Be honest about the previous situation
 - Support and deliver on new vision
 - Deliver on new commitments
- Somebody will quit – help them
- You'll probably need to fire someone
Probably only one person
- Somebody will rise as a new star

Stay on track



- Frequent repetition of the vision
- Explain expected steps and measures of progress
- Frequent reminders of improvements
- Highlight every sign of progress and success

- Don't expect instant results:
 - 2 months: New mission in place
 - 4 months: First signs of success
 - 9 months: First meaningful new deliverables
 - 12 months: Peer groups talking about the success
 - 18 months: New behavior is the norm

Fixing Troubled Groups

Ken Flowers
Director, Software Development
Astra Tech, Inc.
(781) 883-9237
kflowers@alum.mit.edu

